



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,121	12/28/2000	John C. Abendroth	480468.90047	6805
26710	7590	04/06/2004	EXAMINER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			COLBERT, ELLA	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		3624

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/751,121	ABENDROTH, JOHN C.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ella Colbert	3624		My/

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2000.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-36 are pending.
2. Corrected drawings filed 03/12/01 have been entered as paper no. 3.
3. Withdrawal of Attorney filed 01/10/02 has been entered as paper no. 4.
4. The IDS filed 01/24/02 has been entered as paper no. 5.
5. The Power of Attorney filed 01/29/02 has been entered as paper no. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 claims a freight management method. Line 6 recites "thereto, an invitation to bid;" line 11 recites "... carriers that have been selected ...", line 13 recites "the system also electronically ...", and line 26 recites "... as shipper's acceptance of the carrier's bid". This claim needs to be redrafted in the proper English and claim format. Claim 2, uses phrases "...information, etc. and wherein ... but not so limited to, ". The and/or is unclear. It is not understood whether Applicant's mean "earlier and later" or "earlier or later". The acronym "RFB" should be written as "Request for Bids". The acronyms CCA, IATN, and NMFC are not found in the Specification. Applicants' are requested to clarify these acronyms in the claim language and in the Specification. The claims appear to be in recitation format found in the

Art Unit: 3624

Specification. The claims are not examinable in their present claim format. Applicants' are requested to see MPEP 608.01(n) for Form of Claims and to review the claim format of the enclosed references.

The method claims should contain method steps for performing the process. For example: Claim 1 contains "inputting into a computer system ...". The method steps are mixed with system steps in the body of the claim. Suggestion for the recitation of this step: "electronically inputting an invitation to bid on a shipper bulletin board from which the shipper can access to request to bid and an invitation to bid".

Claims 2-36 have similar problems. Redrafting and correction of the claims is required in response to this Office Action in order for the Examiner to give the claims a proper examination on the merits.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. Applicant is requested to review the prior art here below for the proper claim format when redrafting the claims in response to this Office Action.

Chou et al (US 6,035,289) disclosed trading of carrier and cargo capacity.

Kara (US 6,233,568) disclosed providing shipping and transportation fees.

O'Neill et al (US 6,219,653) disclosed a freight calculation system and method.

Inquiries

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 703-308-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 am -5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1038. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



E. Colbert
April 2, 2004